

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,784	OKAZOE ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul A. Zucker	Art Unit 1621	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Kirsten A. Greuneberg</u> .		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>6 September 2005</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
<b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
<b>Part I.</b>			
<b>Rejection(s) discussed:</b> N/A			
<b>Claims discussed:</b> 15			
<b>Prior art documents discussed:</b> N/A			
<b>Part II.</b> <b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b> See Continuation Sheet			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
		<u>(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</u>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Ms. Greuneberg to indicate that the application was almost in condition for allowance except that new claim 15 was in definite because it set forth a range with alternate upper limits. It was agreed that an Examiner's Amendment of the following form would be made:

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 15, lines 2-3: The phrase [or at most the boiling point of a solvent used during the transesterification] has been deleted.

The following new claim has been added:

21. The process according to Claim 1, wherein a temperature for the reaction of the fluorinated ester (1) and the compound (2) is at least -50 C and at most the boiling point of a solvent used during the transesterification..